



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/586,775	06/05/2000	Gil Vinitzky	P-2596-US	7937	
7	590 02/13/2003				
	atzer & Cohen-Zedek		EXAM	EXAMINER	
10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA SUITE 1001			DO, CH	HAT C	
NEW YORK,	NY 10020		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2124 DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003		
	•				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/586,775	VINITZKY, GIL	O
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chat C. Do	2124	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stream of the communication of the months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>6/8/00; 11/8/02</u> .		٠
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal ma der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the m D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the appl	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2000</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ o	lisapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •	•	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·		lication)
a) The translation of the foreign language			vacivii).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			
Attachment(s)	, ,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract exceeds 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, the recitation "the parity" in line 9 lacks the antecedent basis. Thus, claim 2 is also rejected under the same rationale for being dependent upon the rejected claim 1.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hancke et al. (U.S. 3,673,399).

Re claim 1, Hancke et al. disclose in Figures 1 and 9 a method for in-place (col. 1 lines 35-40) memory management in a DSP architecture performing a Fast Fourier Transformation upon a sequence of N data points (N = 32 in Figure 9) and the sequence numbered from 0 to N-1. The method comprises storing each of data points numbered from 0 to (N/2)-1 in a first memory space X (24) and each of data points numbered N/2 to N-1 in a second memory space Y (25); for each FFT stage 0 (Pass 1 in Figure 9) data point grouping (0&16, 1&17, 2&18,...,15&31) comprising a first data point of data points in first memory space X (0, 1, 2,...,15) and a corresponding second data point of data points in second memory space Y (16, 17, 18, 31); determining the parity of a data point memory index (col. 5 lines 10-18) corresponding to first and second data points: storing (Table II in col. 4), if parity is of a first parity value (Memory Location is even), the results of an FFT operation upon first data point at the memory address in first memory space X front which first data point was fetched and the result of an FFT operation upon second data point at the memory address in second memory space Y from which second data point was fetched (PO0 in 24 & PO1 in 25; OP6 in 24 & OP7 in

25 ...); and storing (Table II in col. 4), if parity is of a second parity value (Memory Location is odd), the results of an FFT operation upon first data point at the memory address in second memory space Y from which second data point was fetched and the result of an FFT operation upon second data point at the memory address in first memory space X from which first data point was fetched (data results are swapped while storing such as OP3 in 24 & OP4 in 25, OP5 in 24 & OP6 in 25...).

Re claim 2, Hancke et al. further disclose any FFT stage Z subsequent to stage 0 and each FFT stage Z data point grouping comprising a first data point in first memory space X and a corresponding second data point in second memory space Y, storing the results of an FFT operation upon first data point at the memory address in first memory space X from which first data point was fetched and the results of an FFT operation upon second data point at the memory address in second memory space Y from which second data point was fetched (col. 6 lines 25-39).

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Patent No. 6,356,926 to Andre discloses a device and method for calculating a. FFT.
 - b. U.S. Patent No. 3,871,577 to Avellar et al. disclose a method and apparatus for addressing FFT processor.

Art Unit: 2124

c. U.S. Patent No. 3,662,161 to Bergland et al. disclose a global highly parallel fast Fourier Transform processor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (703) 305-5655. The examiner can normally be reached on M => F from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2124

February 7, 2003

THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100